

School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2015/16 s.y.
School-based Grant - Programme Report

Name of School: St. Louis School

Staff-in-charge: Mr. Wong Man Chun

Contact Telephone No.: 2546 0117

A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is 36 (including A. 3 CSSA recipients, B. 27 SFAS full-grant recipients and C. 6 under school's discretionary quota).

B. Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

*Name / Type of activity	Actual no. of participating eligible students #			Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)
	A	B	C						
S5-S6 Academic Enhancement Programme	3	27	6	60%	28/9/2015 - 3/6/2016 (Every Mon to Fri)	\$28684.5	1. Participation record 2. Teachers' observation and feedback	/	Consolidation measures to help S5-S6 less able students to enhance their learning ability and improve their learning attitude through small group learning.
Total no. of activities:									
@No. of man-times	3	27	6			\$28684.5			
**Total no. of man-times	36				Total Expenses				

Note:

* Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

@ Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

** Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

Please put a “✓” against the most appropriate box.	Improved			No Change	Declining	Not Applicable
	Significant	Moderate	Slight			
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students’ motivation for learning		✓				
b) Students’ study skills		✓				
c) Students’ academic achievement			✓			
d) Students’ learning experience outside classroom						✓
e) Your overall view on students’ learning effectiveness		✓				
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students’ self-esteem						✓
g) Students’ self-management skills			✓			
h) Students’ social skills						✓
i) Students’ interpersonal skills						✓
j) Students’ cooperativeness with others			✓			
k) Students’ attitudes toward schooling			✓			
l) Students’ outlook on life						✓
m) Your overall view on students’ personal and social development			✓			
Community Involvement						
n) Students’ participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities						✓
o) Students’ sense of belonging						✓
p) Students’ understanding on the community						✓
q) Your overall view on students’ community involvement						✓

D. Comments on the project conducted

Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project

(You may tick more than one box)

- unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant);
- difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota;
- eligible students unwilling to join the programmes;
- the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory;
- tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory;
- the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload;
- complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB;
- the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;
- Others (Please specify): _____

E. Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)
