St. Louis School Evaluation Report for DLG-funded Other Programme (Gifted Education) 14/15

Title	Prefects' Association Training (A)						
Objectives	• To	equip the students with know	ledge and skil	ls to hand	le discipline	problems.	
•	• To	build up confidence, teamwor	k and leaders	hip skills :	among prefe	ects as stud	ent leaders.
	• The workload of discipline teachers will be relieved.						
Deliverables	Camps and workshops						
Target	S4-S5 prefects						
Selection mechanism	1. Open recruitment						
	2. Individual interview and group discussion held by Discipline Team teachers and S5						
	Prefects' Association Committee members.						
Duration and venue	• The first camp and workshop: 1-2/11/2014 at St. Louis School and The HK Award for						
Duration and venue							111,, 41.0 101
	Young People Jockey Club Expeditions Centre, Sai Kung. • The second camp and workshop: 9-11/4/2015 at St. Louis School and Hau Tong Kai						
		•	•				•
	Campsite, Sai Kung West Country Park (9/4-10/4) & Chinese YMCA of Hong Kong - Y's						
	Men's - YMCA Wong Yi Chau Youth Camp (10/4 – 11/4).						
Evaluation	There were a total of 22 participants in the training camp, over 90% of them were						
	satisfied with the training. From the questionnaire shown below, they agreed that the						
	training enhanced their confidence, improved their communication and cooperation, as						
	well as increased their sense of belongings towards P.A.						
	In the Discipline Team meeting, members agreed that the prefects, in general, are able to						
	carry out the disciplinary duties and activities.						
		r s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	極不滿意/極不贊成				非常滿意/ 非常贊成
			1	2	3	4	5 5
	I	對訓練內容及設計的意見	1		T	1	1
	1	活動設計有趣味性	0	0	4%	31%	65%
	2	能加強自信心	0	0	4%	44%	52%
	3	能加強自我管理能力	0	0	14%	38%	48%
	4	能更積極克服困難	0	0	4%	33%	63%
	5	能提升與同學之間的合作精神	0	0	0	17%	83%
	II	對導師的意見				270/	520 /
	6	與學員的交流	0	0	0	27%	73%
	7	解說或分享有啟發性	0	0	3%	23%	74%
	8	對導師的整體滿意程度	0	0	0	21%	79%
	III 9	整體意見對活動的整體滿意程度	0	0	0	27%	73%
	1 9	到心期的釜脰쪠思任及	U	U	ı U	L 170	13%

Title	Student Leaders Training Program		
	Student Leaders Training Program		
Objectives	Students can acquire knowledge about organizing activities and the practical procedures of		
	holding an activity in the school.		
Deliverables	The year plan of each club in the coming year.		
Target	All S4 students (about 20) who applied to be a club chairman in the next academic year.		
Selection mechanism	The proposals submitted by students were screened. Only applicants with good proposals		
	will be invited to attend the program.		
Duration and venue	25 Jun 2015 2-4 pm		
	26 Jun 2015 2-4 pm		
	5 Jul 2015 10am - 4pm		
	6 Jul 2015 12noon-2pm		
	Venue: St. Louis School		
Evaluation	There were more than 30 participants in total. Positive feedback was received	l by the	
	questionnaires collected. More than 90% of students found the course useful.	•	
	REPORT (EVALUATION FORM)		
	D 4 25/6/(TL) 26/6/(E.) 5/7/(C.) 6/7/(M.) 2015		
	Dates: 25/6 (Thu), 26/6 (Fri), 5/7 (Sun), 6/7 (Mon) - 2015 Tutor: CHUNG Hiu Fung, SAA chairman 2008-2009		
	Course: Training Workshop for SAA Clubs' Chairmen		
	There are 17 valid evaluation forms being collected. The evaluation form contains two parts, including (i) 10 self-reported questions using 5-point Likert scale on teaching an learning and (ii) an open-ended question about comments and recommendations to this course. The results are shown as follows.		
	Part 1: Evaluation on teaching and learning		
	Part 1: Evaluation on teaching and learning		
ı	<u>Aspects</u>	<u>Mean</u>	
	Aspects Teaching		
	<u>Aspects</u>	<u>Mean</u> 4.35 4.12	
	Aspects Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning)	4.35 4.12 4.12	
	Aspects Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning) 4. Tutor (passionate in teaching)	4.35 4.12	
	Aspects Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning) 4. Tutor (passionate in teaching) Learning	4.35 4.12 4.12 4.35	
	Aspects Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning) 4. Tutor (passionate in teaching) Learning 5. Knowledge of SLS student organizations	4.35 4.12 4.12 4.35 4.24	
	Aspects Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning) 4. Tutor (passionate in teaching) Learning	4.35 4.12 4.12 4.35	
	Aspects Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning) 4. Tutor (passionate in teaching) Learning 5. Knowledge of SLS student organizations 6. Assessment of activities	4.35 4.12 4.12 4.35 4.24 4.29 4.53 4.29	
	Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning) 4. Tutor (passionate in teaching) Learning 5. Knowledge of SLS student organizations 6. Assessment of activities 7. Idea of responsibility as student leader 8. Communication skills and job allocation 9. Confidence for preparation	4.35 4.12 4.12 4.35 4.24 4.29 4.53 4.29 4.12	
	Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning) 4. Tutor (passionate in teaching) Learning 5. Knowledge of SLS student organizations 6. Assessment of activities 7. Idea of responsibility as student leader 8. Communication skills and job allocation 9. Confidence for preparation 10. Idea of striking a balance between activities and studies	4.35 4.12 4.12 4.35 4.24 4.29 4.53 4.29	
	Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning) 4. Tutor (passionate in teaching) Learning 5. Knowledge of SLS student organizations 6. Assessment of activities 7. Idea of responsibility as student leader 8. Communication skills and job allocation 9. Confidence for preparation	4.35 4.12 4.12 4.35 4.24 4.29 4.53 4.29 4.12	
	Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning) 4. Tutor (passionate in teaching) Learning 5. Knowledge of SLS student organizations 6. Assessment of activities 7. Idea of responsibility as student leader 8. Communication skills and job allocation 9. Confidence for preparation 10. Idea of striking a balance between activities and studies (Please refer to Appendix A for a detailed questionnaire)	4.35 4.12 4.12 4.35 4.24 4.29 4.53 4.29 4.12	
	Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning) 4. Tutor (passionate in teaching) Learning 5. Knowledge of SLS student organizations 6. Assessment of activities 7. Idea of responsibility as student leader 8. Communication skills and job allocation 9. Confidence for preparation 10. Idea of striking a balance between activities and studies (Please refer to Appendix A for a detailed questionnaire) Part 2: Comments and Recommendations	4.35 4.12 4.12 4.35 4.24 4.29 4.53 4.29 4.12 4.18	
	Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning) 4. Tutor (passionate in teaching) Learning 5. Knowledge of SLS student organizations 6. Assessment of activities 7. Idea of responsibility as student leader 8. Communication skills and job allocation 9. Confidence for preparation 10. Idea of striking a balance between activities and studies (Please refer to Appendix A for a detailed questionnaire)	4.35 4.12 4.12 4.35 4.24 4.29 4.53 4.29 4.12 4.18	
	Teaching 1. Course content 2. Course format 3. Tutor (facilitate learning) 4. Tutor (passionate in teaching) Learning 5. Knowledge of SLS student organizations 6. Assessment of activities 7. Idea of responsibility as student leader 8. Communication skills and job allocation 9. Confidence for preparation 10. Idea of striking a balance between activities and studies (Please refer to Appendix A for a detailed questionnaire) Part 2: Comments and Recommendations There are mainly two general recommendations. First, the duration of this contents are mainly two general recommendations.	4.35 4.12 4.12 4.35 4.24 4.29 4.53 4.29 4.12 4.18	

Title	Liberal Studies Critical Thinking and Writing Course	
Objectives	Students are expected to develop a more critical way of thinking towards current issues. The	
	are also expected to learn how to write an issue essay in a structural manner.	
Deliverables	A post-programme survey for students	
	Student's exam result in LS	
Target	The top 35 students in each form (S4, 5) according to their exam result and motivation to	
	learn.	
Selection mechanism	The top 35 students in S4 are shortlisted according to their result in mid-year exam and term	
	test. They then are suggested by their LS teachers and can participate in the programme	
	voluntarily.	
	The top 35 students in S5 are shortlisted according to their result in mid-year exam and	
	overall performance in LS in S4. They then are suggested by their LS teachers and can	
	participate in the programme voluntarily.	
	Other students can also volunteer to join the programme if there is vacancy and are suggested	
	by their LS teacher.	
Duration and venue	S4: April-May 2015	
	S5: Jan-Feb 2015	
Evaluation	Attendance:	
	S4: 80%	
	S5: 86%	
	The overall opinion of the workshop is satisfactory. In the post-programme survey, 95% of	
	the S4 participants were satisfied with the programme and 89% of the S5 participants were	
	satisfied with the programme.	
	Exam performance:	
	S5: About 45% of the participants received a higher mark in the final exam comparing to the	
	mid-year exam.	
	S4: About 50% of the participants received a higher mark in the final exam comparing to the	
	mid-year exam.	
	Though this criteria was not met, the result could still consider satisfactory. Because the level	
	of difficulty of final exam is usually higher than that of the mid-year exam, it is not easy for	
	the students to score a higher mark.	
Expenditure	Critical and Writing skills workshop 2014/15 for Elite S4 Liberal Studies students: \$8,800	
	Critical and Writing skills workshop 2014/15 for Elite S5 Liberal Studies students: \$8,800	
	Total: \$17,600	

St. Louis School Evaluation Report for DLG-funded Other Programme (Gifted Education) 14/15

Title	Leadership Training Camp	
Objectives	Student leaders can further improve their leadership skills and strengthen their sense of	
	belongings to the school through workshops, games and group tasks.	
Deliverables	A stage performance from each group of students, carried out in the summer camp.	
Target	About 45 students from S4-S5 consisting of 18 organizing committee members from SAA &	
	27 selected students	
Selection mechanism	Application forms were collected and students were required to fill in their expectations in	
	this activity. Their performance was discussed after the first day of activity, students with	
	unsatisfied performance will be screened out.	
Duration and venue	15 Feb 15 9am- 7pm at St Louis School	
	8 -10 Apr 15 at Breakthrough Youth Village	
	23 May 15 9am - 7pm at St. Louis School	
	27-30 Jul 15 at the CUHK	
Evaluation	There are 18 organizers and 24 participants.	
	According to the questionnaires collected,	
	97.9% of the participants agree that they had learnt a lot in this activity.	
	96.8% of the participants agree that they enjoyed the program	
	100% of the participants agree that they had improved either of the following:	
	Communication Skills, Leading Skills, Presentation Skills, Confidence.	
Expenditure	\$25,000	

Title	Chinese Debating Team	
Objectives	Through regular training and competition on debate, it is expected that the confidence,	
	eloquence and teamwork of students will be developed.	
Deliverables	Debate training	
Target	S4 and S5 members of Chinese Debating Team	
Selection mechanism	Open recruitment and then selected by teacher advise and team captain through interviews	
Duration and venue	1/9/2014-31/7/2015	
Evaluation	The school debating team has participated several inter-school debate competitions including	
	"第十四屆全港基本法多面體中學生辯論比賽","第四十七屆聯校中文辯論比賽","第	
	八屆AIA MPF挑戰盃","第一屆大中華青年討論園 通識辯論","華辯人權法律盃".	
	Through regular training and competition on debate, it is expected that the confidence,	
	eloquence and teamwork of students will be developed.	
Expenditure	\$350	

Title	Interview preparation workshop	
Objectives	Help students gain a better understanding of themselves and prepare for the admission or job	
	interview	
Deliverables	 Handouts about presentation skills Help students to develop their own CV. 	
Target	S6 students	
Selection mechanism	Open recruitment and then selected by Careers Team	
Duration and venue	Lesson duration 1.5 hours per lesson	
	No. of lesson: 2	
	No. of Class: 2	
	Venue: Classroom in school	
Evaluation	65% of the participants have attained an attendance of 50% or above since the timetable	
	clashed with admission interview.	
	Questionnaire & Feedback:	
	Many students stated that the programme was very useful since they learned various	
	interview skills like self-introduction and dress code, etc. Besides, mock interview was	
	arranged during workshops so as to develop their confidence for the admission interview.	
Expenditure	\$14,000	